

Prenatal Exposure to Phthalates and Anogenital Distance in Male Infants from a Low-Exposed Danish Cohort (2010–2012)

Tina Kold Jensen, Hanne Frederiksen, Henriette Boye Kyhl, Tina Harmer Lassen, Shanna H. Swan, Carl-Gustaf Bornehag, Niels E. Skakkebaek, Katharina M. Main, Dorte Vesterholm Lind, Steffen Husby, and Anna-Maria Andersson

http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1509870

Received: 23 February 2015 Accepted: 30 November 2015

**Advance Publication: 15 December 2015** 

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* will provide a 508-conformant version of this article upon final publication. If you require a 508-conformant version before then, please contact <a href="mailto:ehp508@niehs.nih.gov">ehp508@niehs.nih.gov</a>. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.



Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Prenatal Exposure to Phthalates and Anogenital Distance in Male Infants from a Low-Exposed Danish Cohort (2010–2012)

Tina Kold Jensen<sup>1,2</sup>, Hanne Frederiksen<sup>3</sup>, Henriette Boye Kyhl<sup>2</sup>, Tina Harmer Lassen<sup>3</sup>, Shanna H. Swan<sup>4</sup>, Carl-Gustaf Bornehag<sup>5</sup>, Niels E. Skakkebaek<sup>3</sup>, Katharina M. Main<sup>3</sup>, Dorte Vesterholm Lind<sup>1</sup>, Steffen Husby<sup>2</sup>, and Anna-Maria Andersson<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Medicine, Institute of Public Health, University of Southern

Denmark, Odense, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Odense University Hospital, Hans Christian Andersen Children's

Hospital, Odense, Denmark; <sup>3</sup>Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Department of

Growth and Reproduction, Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>4</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, Mount

Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Health Sciences,

Karlstad University, Karlstad, Sweden

**Address correspondence to** Tina Kold Jensen, Department of Environmental Medicine, Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Winsløwsparken 17, 5000 Odense, Denmark. Telephone: +4565503077. E-mail: <a href="mailto:tkjensen@health.sdu.dk">tkjensen@health.sdu.dk</a>

**Short running title:** Phthalate exposure and anogenital distance

**Acknowledgments:** Ole Nielsen, Department of Growth and Reproduction, Rigshospitalet, is acknowledged for skilled technical assistance. The technicians at Hans Christian Andersens Children's Hospital are acknowledged for their careful examination of the children. This work was supported by the Danish Center for Hormone Disrupting Chemicals, the Danish Foundation for Scientific Innovation and Technology (09-067180), The Danish Research council (4004-00352B FSS), Ronald McDonald Children Foundation, K. A. Rohde's and wife's Foundation,

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Odense University Hospital and Region of Southern Denmark, Danielsen Foundation, The

Danish Council for Strategic Research, Program Commission on Health, Food and Welfare

(2101-08-0058) and Odense Patient data Exploratory Network (OPEN). The LC-MS/MS

equipment was financially supported by the Velux Foundation.

**Competing financial interests:** No authors have any competing financial interests.

2

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

**Abstract** 

**Background:** Phthalates comprise a large class of chemicals used in a variety of consumer products.

3

Several have anti-androgenic properties and in rodents prenatal exposure has been associated with

reduced anogenital distance (AGD); the distance from the anus to the genitals in male offspring. Few

human studies have been conducted but associations between the anti-androgenic phthalates and

male AGD have been reported.

**Objective:** To study the association between phthalate exposure in late pregnancy in Danish women

pregnant in 2010-2012 and AGD in their infants at 3 months of age (N=273).

Methods: In the Odense child cohort urinary concentrations of 12 phthalate metabolites of di-ethyl,

di-n-butyl-, di-iso-butyl-, di-(2-ethylhexyl)-, butyl-benzyl- and di-iso-nonyl phthalate (DEP, DnBP,

DiBP, DEHP, BBzP and DiNP, respectively) were measured among 245 mothers to boys at

approximately gestational week 28 (range 20.4-30.4) and adjusted for osmolality. AGD, penile width

and weight were measured 3 months after the expected date of birth. Associations between prenatal

phthalate exposure and AGD and penile width were estimated using multivariable linear regression

adjusting for age and weight-for-age standard deviation score.

**Results:** Phthalate levels were lower in this population than in a recent Swedish in which phthalates

were measured in first trimester. No consistent associations were seen between any prenatal phthalate

exposure and AGD or penile width. Most associations were negative for exposures above the first

quartile, and for ln-transformed exposures modeled as continuous variables, but there were no

consistent dose-response patterns, and associations were not statistically significant (p > 0.05)

**Conclusion** We found no significant trends towards shorter AGD in boys with higher phthalates

exposures in this low exposed Danish population.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

## Introduction

Phthalates are used as plasticizers in soft polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and found in a large number of commonly used consumer products including food, building materials, plastics, cosmetics, cleaning products, packages, toys, etc. (Bornehag et al. 2005; Rudel et al. 2011). They are found in indoor air (Bergh et al. 2012), dust (Langer et al. 2014), food and drinking water (Shi et al. 2012) and humans are exposed through multiple routes. They are present in urine (Frederiksen et al. 2014), blood (Frederiksen et al. 2010) and breast milk (Fromme et al. 2011) and cross the placental barrier (Jensen et al. 2012). A recent state of the art report from WHO provides new evidence for several human health risks from exposure to phthalates and other endocrine disrupters (EDCs) including cancer, metabolic outcomes (including overweight and obesity), asthma and allergy, neurodevelopmental outcomes and behaviour, as well as reproductive health and sexual development (Bergman et al. 2013).

4

Anogenital distance (AGD; distance from anus to genitals) is routinely used in animal toxicology studies and is sensitive to anti-androgenic exposure. In rodents AGD has been shown to reflect the amount of androgen to which a male fetus is exposed in early development; males have longer AGD than females and higher *in utero* androgen exposure results in longer AGD. Numerous studies have shown that prenatal phthalate exposure (notably DEHP, DnBP, DiBP, BBzP) shortens male AGD in rodents (Foster 2006; Saillenfait et al. 2008) (van den Driesche et al. 2010). For DiNP animal data are much more limited but shortened male AGD has also been reported following prenatal exposure to this phthalate (Boberg et al. 2011; Clewell et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2006).

Few human studies have been conducted. The first American study among 134

mother-son pairs reported significant association between maternal exposure to several phthalates measured in urine and reduced AGD in the male offspring (Swan et al. 2005) and a later publication found an inverse association between maternal urine DEHP exposure and AGD and penile size (Swan 2008). Similar findings were reported in smaller Japanese and Mexican studies (Bustamante-Montes et al. 2013; Suzuki et al. 2012). However, a small study (N=33) from Taiwan could not confirm the findings (Huang et al. 2009). A recent Swedish study found inverse association between maternal urinary DiNP metabolites and AGD, which is noteworthy given the recent substitution DINP for DEHP (Bornehag et al. 2014) whereas a new US study found association with the DEHP metabolites MEHP, MEHHP and MEOHP and AGD (Swan et al. 2015).

We therefore prospectively investigated the association between maternal urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations in pregnancy and AGD and penile width in the male offspring in a sample of 273 mother-son pairs in the Odense Child Cohort study.

## Methods

### Study settings and design

The study was based on data from the Odense Child Cohort (Kyhl et al. 2015). Briefly, newly pregnant women residing in the Municipality of Odense, Denmark between 2010 and 2012 were recruited at a voluntary information meeting about ultrasound examinations, at first antenatal midwife visit or at the ultrasound examination at Odense University Hospital at gestational age (GA) 8-16 weeks. Odense University Hospital is the only hospital in the municipality. Last menstrual period was used to calculate the GA (in weeks) in all participants. Of the eligible population of 6,707 pregnant women, 4,017 women were informed about the study and 2,874

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

(42.9%) enrolled in the cohort and 2.500 life births are being followed up at age 3 and 5 years

6

now. Inclusion criteria were living in the municipality of Odense and giving birth there.

Participants were better educated and more often of Danish origin than non-participants (Kyhl et

al. 2015). Fasting spot urine samples were collected at approximately GA 28 weeks before 9.30

AM and stored in freezers at the Odense Patient data Explorative Network (OPEN).

Phthalate measurements

Participants provided a urine sample around week 28 of gestation (median 28.7 weeks, range

26.4 – 30.4 weeks of gestation). Samples were stored at –80°C until chemical analyses. Phthalate

metabolite concentrations were measured in a subset of 565 women, and among these women,

293 women gave birth to live born, singleton boys. We excluded women of non-Caucasian origin

(n=15) and those with missing information on ethnicity (n=5), leaving 273 pairs of boys and

their mothers eligible for analyses.

Urine samples were analyzed for total content (free and conjugated) of 12 phthalate

metabolites: monoethyl phthalate (MEP), mono-n-butyl phthalate (MnBP), mono-iso-butyl

phthalate (MiBP), monobenzyl phthalate (MBzP), mono-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP),

mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate (MEHHP), mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate

(MEOHP), mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate (MECPP), mono-iso-nonyl phthalate

(MiNP), mono-hydroxy-iso-nonyl phthalate (MHiNP), mono-oxo-iso-nonyl phthalate (MHiOP)

and mono-carboxy-iso-octyl phthalate (MCiOP) by liquid chromatography tandem mass

spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) with preceding enzymatic deconjugation followed by solid phase

extraction. The method for preparation of samples, standard solutions and quality controls as

well as the instrumental analysis and general method validation has previously been described in

detail (Frederiksen et al. 2010). The Chemical Laboratory at Department of Growth and Reproduction, Copenhagen University Hospital served as reference laboratory for analysis of phthalate metabolites in a European biomonitoring project (<a href="www.eu-hbm.info/cophes">www.eu-hbm.info/cophes</a>) and further participates yearly in the German External Quality Assessment Scheme program (G-EQUAS).

Urinary osmolality, which is a measure of urinary dilution, was measured by the freezing point depression method using automatic cryoscopic osmometer (Osmomat® 030 from Gonotec, Berlin, Germany). For each nine samples, a control standard urine pool was measured. Mean urinary osmolality for this standard pool (N=77) was 0.825 Osm/kg with a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 1.85%. The median (range) osmolality of all urine samples included in this study was 0.63 (0.123, 1.117) Osm/kg. We used urinary osmolality to adjust for urinary dilution. In contrast to urinary creatinine adjustment, which has the limitation that urinary creatinine excretion varies with sex, age, BMI, fat-free mass, and even ethnicity, and urinary specific gravity, which is not only influenced by the number of molecules in urine but also by their molecular weight and size, urine osmolality is directly related to the number of particles in solution and is unaffected by the molecular weight and size of these particles (Frederiksen et al. 2013). In subjects with normal renal function osmolality thus reflects an individual's hydration status.

A total of 565 samples were analyzed; 196 samples from September 2011 to January 2012 (of whom 98 gave birth to a boy) (Tefre de Renzy-Martin et al. 2014) and 369 samples from December 2012 to January 2013 (of whom 195 gave birth to a boy) (Frederiksen et al. 2014). The first 196 samples were selected randomly, whereas the last 369 were selected based on the availability of information from questionnaires, birth records and clinical

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

8

examination of the child at three months. We observed higher levels of some phthalate metabolites in the first subset (n=196, especially DiBP metabolites) compared to the second subset of samples (n=369). Therefore, 20 samples from the first and second subset were reanalyzed in the same batch. Similar results were obtained by the reanalysis with a variation less than 5% between the original and the reanalyzed samples.

## **AGD** measurements

Three months after the expected date of birth, regardless of actual gestational age at birth, the children were invited to a clinical examination, which included measurements of length, weight and AGD on 1659 children of which 565 mothers had phthalates measured in urine. Two different measures of AGD were made using a Vernier caliper; the shorter AGD measurement was from the center of anus to the posterior base of scrotum (AGDas) and the longer the center of anus to the cephalad insertion of the penis (AGDap) measured in mm. Penile width was measured at the base of the penis using the Vernier caliper also in mm. In each child, all genital measures were repeated three times, and their arithmetic mean calculated. In addition, 13 boys were measured by two examiners.

Among the 293 women who had phthalates measured in urine and gave birth to a boy the final analyses included N=245 (AGDas), N=236 (AGDap) and N=241 (penile width) due to missing data on AGD measurements or covariates. The coefficient of variation (CV) was 3% for all the triplicate AGD measurements. Inter-examiner CV based on 13 measurements were respectively 4%, 3% and 4% for AGDas, AGDap and penile width.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Copyedited

9

The women provided written consent to participate in the study which was approved by the local ethical committee. The research was conducted in accordance with principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

# Data analysis

Phthalate metabolite concentrations were adjusted for urinary osmolality normalized to the median osmolality of all samples (0.63 Osm/kg) in order to correct for urinary dilution. This was done for all samples with a measured phthalate concentration above LOD by dividing the individual urinary phthalate concentration (ng/mL) with the individual osmolality (Osm/kg) of the urine sample and multiplying with the median osmolality of all samples (0.63 \* Osm/kg)(Lassen et al. 2013). Urinary phthalate concentrations below LOD were not adjusted for osmolality (numbers with levels below LOD can been seen in Table 1), but substituted by the phthalate specific LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$ . To simplify the statistical analysis of all DEHP and DiNP metabolites, these were summed by addition of the molar sum of the DEHP metabolites (sum MEHP + MEHHP + MEOHP + MECPP) or the DiNP metabolites (MiNP+MHiNP+MOiNP+MCiOP) and expressed as their respective parent compound ( $\Sigma$ DEHPm or  $\Sigma$ DiNPm) by multiplication with the molecular weight of DEHP or DiNP, respectively (sum of dibutyl phthalate (DBP) isomers  $[\Sigma DBP(i+n)]$  and sums of DEHP and DiNP metabolites (ΣDEHPm and ΣDiNPm)). Furthermore, since the two isomers of di-butyl phthalate are shown to be highly correlated, their metabolites (MnBP and MiBP) were summed  $(\Sigma MBP_{(i+n)})$  in this study for additional statistical analyse (Frederiksen et al. 2010).

Osmolality adjusted phthalate metabolites ( $ng/mL_{(osm)}$ ) were divided into quartiles based on the distribution among the 273 women (MBzP were divided as levels below and above

medians as 31% were <LOD). They were also entered in the statistical model as a continuous variable transformed by use of the natural logarithm. The AGD measurements and the penile width were left untransformed due to acceptable normal distributions of the residuals after visual inspection of histograms and normal probability plots. We calculated the distribution of anogenital distance as well as the correlations (Spearman correlation coefficients) between the genital measures. Differences in distributions of phthalate concentrations according to population characteristics were assessed by Kruskal-Wallis test. Multivariable linear regression analysis was then used to analyze the associations between urinary phthalate excretion and AGDmeasurements and penile width adjusted for potential confounders. Confounders adjusted for in the models were factors associated with phthalate concentrations and AGD and or penile width. AGD measurements vary with age and weight of the child, and because the clinical examination was scheduled to take place three months after expected date of birth we constructed a measure of 'post-conceptional age' defined as the sum of gestational age at birth (in days) and the age of the child at the AGD measurements (in days). Analyses of associations between phthalate and AGD were thus adjusted for the post-conceptional age and individual weight-for-age standard deviation score (Z-score)(Swan 2008) calculated by use of Danish longitudinal growth data (Tinggaard et al. 2013). We tested trends across quartiles of phthalate exposure by inserting ordinal categorical variable coded using integer values (0, 1, 2, 3) in the regression. Also, we performed the analyses separately among women with phthalates measured in 2011-12 and 2012-13.

We evaluated the fit of the regression models by inspecting the residual plots for model assumption of homogeneity of variances. SPSS statistics V.19 was used and the results are presented with 95% CIs and p-values<0.05 were considered significant.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

#### Results

The 565 women with phthalate measurements were similar to other singleton birth-giving women in the cohort with respect to birth weight, gestational age at delivery, child sex, maternal parity and age. There were fewer smokers although not statistically significant (3.4% vs. 5.0% data not shown). The mean age of the women was 30.9 years at birth, 57% of the women were nulliparous and 3.4% of the women smoked during pregnancy. A total of 9.6% of the mothers reported having had infertility treatment, the two AGDs in their boys did not differ significantly from women not reporting treatment (data not shown). The boys were examined at a median (range) age of 3.3 months (2.3-6.2). Median AGDas, AGDap and penile width were 36.9 mm (19.4 mm to 50.6 mm), 70.2 mm (49.1 mm to 86.2 mm) and 13.8 mm (10.3 mm to 17.4mm). Correlation coefficients between the two different AGD measures were r=0.63 (p<0.0001). Penile width was weakly, though significantly, correlated with AGDas (r=0.22, P<0.001) and AGDap (r=0.14,p=0.03).

11

All urine samples contained MEP, MiBP or at least one of the DiNP metabolites in concentrations above limit of detection (LOD), which means that all women have been exposed to respectively DEP, DiBP and DiNP, while 97%, 96% and 69% had detectable levels of respectively DEHP, DnBP and BBzP (Table 1). MiBP were observed in highest concentration (median = 27.1 ng/mL) followed by MEP and MnBP (Table 1). Urine samples were collected equally across the year and seasonal variation in phthalate metabolites were found but with no consistent pattern as some metabolites were higher in spring and summer whereas others were higher in autumn and winter (data not shown).

The analyses were performed with MEP, MiBP, MnBP,  $\sum$ MBP<sub>(i+n)</sub>, MBzP,  $\sum$ DiNPm and  $\sum$ DEHPm.  $\sum$ MBP<sub>(i+n)</sub>,  $\sum$ DiNPm and  $\sum$ DEHPm were higher in women with high or low BMI and among smoking women (Table 2). The analyses were therefore initially adjusted for smoking and BMI, which changed the estimates less than 10% (data not shown). Adjustment for parity did also changed the estimates less than 10%.

No dose-dependent association between any phthalate metabolites and AGD or penile width was found either in unadjusted or in adjusted analyses (Table 3) seen both by the lack of monotonic patterns, no significant trends or in the model for the continuous exposures. Interestingly, however, almost all estimates were negative, suggesting that AGD and penile width were shorter for boys with exposures above the first quartile than in boys with exposures below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile.

Mean AGDas was lower for boys in the  $2^{nd}$ ,  $3^{rd}$ , and  $4^{th}$  quartiles of MEP compared with boys in the first quartile [-0.64 mm (95% CI: -2.52, 1.23 mm), -1.68 mm (95% CI: -3.56, 0.20 mm), and -1.37 mm (95% CI: -3.27, 0.54 mm), respectively] though differences were not statistically significant (Table 3). Maternal  $\Sigma$ DiNPm and  $\Sigma$ DEHPm levels in  $2^{nd}$ ,  $3^{th}$  and  $4^{th}$  quartile were associated with shorter AGD in the boys compared to levels in the first quartile 3th quartile exposure levels were associated with shorter AGDas than  $4^{th}$  quartile (respectively -1.24 (-3.21,0.73) vs. -0.29 (-2.17, 1.59) and -1.25 (-3.17, 0.67) vs. -1.16 (-3.08, 0.77)).

# **Discussion**

In this prospective study among 245 mother-son pairs no dose-response association between maternal phthalate exposure and anogenital distance or penile width in the male offspring was found. The exposure levels before adjustment in our women were lower than in some previous

studies (Table 4). Interestingly, median concentrations of all phthalate metabolites were about 2-4 times lower in our study compared to levels observed in a recent Swedish study (Bornehag et al. 2014) in which both laboratories participated in the same quality control program project and the Danish laboratory served as reference laboratory (Schindler et al. 2014). Compared to the American study of future families from 1999-2002 the Danish levels were also lower for DEP, BBzP and DEHP but higher for both DiBP and DnBP (Swan et al. 2005). A new US study found higher levels of DEP, DEHP metabolites, but lower levels of DBP metabolites compared to our study (Swan et al. 2015) (Table 4) whereas the levels in three smaller studies were comparable with ours. In addition, except for the MBzP metabolites all phthalate metabolites were measurable in more than 95% of the samples in our study, the Swedish study and the two American studies. In the US and Swedish studies the women delivered urine samples in first trimester whereas we collected urine samples in second and third trimester. There is limited information about changes in phthalates over the course of pregnancy, but two studies have reported decreases in DEHP levels during pregnancy (Braun et al. 2012; Ferguson et al. 2014). A single spot urine sample may reasonably classify MEP and MBP concentrations during pregnancy, but more than one sample may be necessary for MBzP, DEHP (Adibi et al. 2008; Braun et al. 2012). In addition, the Swedish study collected morning urine samples in which phthalate levels may be higher than in spot urines as phthalate levels are peeking 2 to 8 hours after intake (Frederiksen et al. 2013). Our women were fasting, however, their samples were collected before 9.30 AM and it is therefore unlikely that phthalate intake from breakfast will have been excreted. The differences in phthalate levels between our study and the Swedish study may partially be attributed to differences in timing of urine collection as well as differences in lifestyle and consumer behavior factors that may impact phthalate exposure.

Swan et al. found associations between a shorter male AGD and prenatal exposure, particularly for DEHP metabolites, in US mothers recruited in 1999- 2002 (Swan et al. 2005) and among mothers recruited from 2010-12 (Swan et al. 2015) a period during which levels declined (Table 4), while the Swedish study among 196 boys recruited from 2009-10 reported stronger association between AGD and DiNP than DEHP and DEP metabolites (Bornehag et al. 2014). During this 10-year period DEHP has been replaced by DiNP in soft PVC applications. Three smaller studies have been published (Table 4) (Bustamante-Montes et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2009; Suzuki et al. 2012), two found association between MEHP and "total phthalate levels" and AGD whereas one did not find association between prenatal phthalate exposure and AGD. However, it was conducted among 33 Taiwanese women with high risk pregnancies scheduled for amniocentesis (Huang et al. 2009) and with MEP exposure levels were low (like in our study).

Phthalate exposure in Denmark has declined considerably during the past 10 years (Frederiksen et al. 2014). Our findings of considerably lower phthalate levels in Danish pregnant women than in Swedish women confirms the findings from a previous EU coordinated study (Den Hond et al. 2015).

DEHP and DiNP are known anti-androgens in rodent studies although DiNP is less potent (Foster 2006; Hannas et al. 2011) and DiNP has replaced DEHP in soft PVC because of similar properties. DiNP exposure to rats during gestation and perinatally has been found to increase the incidence of reproductive malformations in male offspring and caused alterations in foetal testicular testosterone production (Borch et al. 2004). Conflicting results between prenatal exposure to DiNP and AGD in male rats have been found, as some studies found reduced AGD (Boberg et al. 2011; Clewell et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2006) whereas others found no association

(Gray et al. 2000; Masutomi et al. 2004). This may be due to differences in exposure levels between studies.

AGD measurements are well tolerated by all subjects and quick to perform, with less than 5% intra- and inter-examiner reliability, and currently few known factors needs to be controlled for (age and body size). AGD measurements differ considerably between studies (Bornehag et al. 2014; Bustamante-Montes et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2009; Suzuki et al. 2012; Swan et al. 2005; Thankamony et al. 2014), which may partly be explained by differences in age at examination. Some studies measured only one AGD distance whereas others measured both the ano-scrotal distance (AGDas) and the distance to the cephalad insertion of the penis (AGDap). The AGDas is less dependent on infant size, nevertheless the US study found stronger associations for AGDap (Swan et al. 2015).

AGD is a continuous measure of developmental exposure to anti-androgens in rodents (Foster 2006) and as it can be measured in all boys it is a more sensitive marker of genital development than the birth prevalence of cryptorchidism or hypospadias which are found in less than 10% of newborns, thus requiring large study populations. Shorter AGD has been associated with hypospadias and cryptorchidism in human males (Hsieh et al. 2008; Thankamony et al. 2014). In male rodents, shortened AGD persists into adulthood (Hotchkiss et al. 2004) and predicts compromised reproductive function (reduced testis size) in the mature male (Scott et al. 2008). The same link between prenatal anti-androgen exposure and adult reproductive function is suggested as cross-sectional studies among adult men have found associations between AGD and adult semen quality (Eisenberg et al. 2011; Mendiola et al. 2011) and serum testosterone (Eisenberg et al. 2012a). In addition, fertile men and men with obstructive azospermia (suggested to be caused by infections) have a longer AGD than infertile men and with non-obstructive

azospermia (Eisenberg et al. 2011; Eisenberg et al. 2012b). This suggests that shortened AGD may be a member of the symptom complex of the testicular dysgenesis syndrome (Thankamony et al. 2014). This is also in line with the theory that TDS symptoms result from a disturbance in the Sertoli cell and Leydig cell differentiation during fetal life leading to impaired testosterone production and decreased virilization (Skakkebaek 2004).

Our study has several strengths, as it is large and population based as the municipality only included one hospital. However, only 42% of the eligible women participated and 1659 had AGD measured and participants were better educated than non-participants. Their age at delivery resembled that of pregnant women in Denmark and the women had no knowledge of their phthalate exposure or the AGD of their child at enrollment. It is therefore unlikely to have affected their participation. In addition, we compared women across phthalate exposure and whether they represent the general population is therefore of less importance in the study but for generalizability. We adjusted for relevant confounder but we cannot exclude the possibility of residual confounding by other factors associated with phthalate exposure and growth measures, e.g. co-exposure to other environmental chemicals, lifestyle or health behavior.

Phthalates are quickly metabolized with a urinary excretion half-life of less than 24 hours (Anderson et al. 2001; Anderson et al. 2011; Koch et al. 2012). A single spot urine sample collected around gestational week 28 may therefore not reflect fetal exposure in the sensitive developmental window, however, a single spot urine sample may reasonably classify MEP and MBP concentrations during pregnancy, but more than one sample may be necessary for MBzP, DEHP (Adibi et al. 2008; Braun et al. 2012). The women were fasting which may contribute to the low urine phthalate levels, however, this misclassification is likely non-differential as it is not associated to AGD, thereby underestimating the effect of phthalate exposure. In addition,

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

temporal and seasonal variation in phthalate levels in our study population was found (data not

17

shown), however, samples were collected during the whole year (data not shown). Also,

phthalates were measured in two different batches, however, we reassessed 20 samples and

acceptable agreement between original and later levels.

**Conclusions** 

In conclusion, in this population based study of 245 mother-sons pairs we found no consistent

dose-response association between maternal phthalate exposure and AGD in the offspring.

Phthalate exposure was low in this population; 50% or less of that in a recent Swedish study and

lower than US studies (except for the DnBP isomers) conducted from 1999-2002 and 2010-12.

They, however, measured phthalate levels in first trimester and the Swedish study used morning

urine whereas we measured fasting spot urine in second and third trimester, which may explain

some of the differences in exposure levels.

#### References

Adibi JJ, Whyatt RM, Williams PL, Calafat AM, Camann D, Herrick R, et al. 2008. Characterization of phthalate exposure among pregnant women assessed by repeat air and urine samples. Environ Health Perspect 116:467-473.

Anderson WA, Castle L, Scotter MJ, Massey RC, Springall C. 2001. A biomarker approach to measuring human dietary exposure to certain phthalate diesters. Food Addit Contam 18:1068-1074.

Anderson WA, Castle L, Hird S, Jeffery J, Scotter MJ. 2011. A twenty-volunteer study using deuterium labelling to determine the kinetics and fractional excretion of primary and secondary urinary metabolites of di-2-ethylhexylphthalate and di-iso-nonylphthalate. Food Chem Toxicol 49:2022-2029.

Bergh C, Luongo G, Wise S, Ostman C. 2012. Organophosphate and phthalate esters in standard reference material 2585 organic contaminants in house dust. Anal Bioanal Chem 402:51-59.

Bergman A, Heindel JJ, Kasten T, Kidd KA, Jobling S, Neira M, et al. 2013. The impact of endocrine disruption: A consensus statement on the state of the science. Environ Health Perspect 121:A104-106.

Boberg J, Christiansen S, Axelstad M, Kledal TS, Vinggaard AM, Dalgaard M, et al. 2011. Reproductive and behavioral effects of diisononyl phthalate (dinp) in perinatally exposed rats. Reprod Toxicol 31:200-209.

Borch J, Ladefoged O, Hass U, Vinggaard AM. 2004. Steroidogenesis in fetal male rats is reduced by dehp and dinp, but endocrine effects of dehp are not modulated by deha in fetal, prepubertal and adult male rats. Reprod Toxicol 18:53-61.

Bornehag CG, Lundgren B, Weschler CJ, Sigsgaard T, Hagerhed-Engman L, Sundell J. 2005. Phthalates in indoor dust and their association with building characteristics. Environ Health Perspect 113:1399-1404.

Bornehag CG, Carlstedt F, Jonsson BA, Lindh CH, Jensen TK, Bodin A, et al. 2014. Prenatal phthalate exposures and anogenital distance in swedish boys. Environ Health Perspect.

Braun JM, Smith KW, Williams PL, Calafat AM, Berry K, Ehrlich S, et al. 2012. Variability of urinary phthalate metabolite and bisphenol a concentrations before and during pregnancy. Environ Health Perspect 120:739-745.

Bustamante-Montes LP, Hernandez-Valero MA, Flores-Pimentel D, Garcia-Fabila M, Amaya-Chavez A, Barr DB, et al. 2013. Prenatal exposure to phthalates is associated with decreased anogenital distance and penile size in male newborns. J Dev Orig Health Dis 4:300-306.

Clewell RA, Thomas A, Willson G, Creasy DM, Andersen ME. 2013. A dose response study to assess effects after dietary administration of diisononyl phthalate (dinp) in gestation and lactation on male rat sexual development. Reprod Toxicol 35:70-80.

Den Hond E, Govarts E, Willems H, Smolders R, Casteleyn L, Kolossa-Gehring M, et al. 2015. First steps toward harmonized human biomonitoring in europe: Demonstration project to perform human biomonitoring on a european scale. Environ Health Perspect 123:255-263.

Eisenberg ML, Hsieh MH, Walters RC, Krasnow R, Lipshultz LI. 2011. The relationship between anogenital distance, fatherhood, and fertility in adult men. PLoS One 6:e18973.

Eisenberg ML, Jensen TK, Walters RC, Skakkebaek NE, Lipshultz LI. 2012a. The relationship between anogenital distance and reproductive hormone levels in adult men. J Urol 187:594-598.

Eisenberg ML, Shy M, Walters RC, Lipshultz LI. 2012b. The relationship between anogenital distance and azoospermia in adult men. Int J Androl 35:726-730.

Ferguson KK, McElrath TF, Ko YA, Mukherjee B, Meeker JD. 2014. Variability in urinary phthalate metabolite levels across pregnancy and sensitive windows of exposure for the risk of preterm birth. Environ Int 70:118-124.

Foster PM. 2006. Disruption of reproductive development in male rat offspring following in utero exposure to phthalate esters. Int J Androl 29:140-147; discussion 181-145.

Frederiksen H, Jorgensen N, Andersson AM. 2010. Correlations between phthalate metabolites in urine, serum, and seminal plasma from young danish men determined by isotope dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. J Anal Toxicol 34:400-410.

Frederiksen H, Kranich SK, Jorgensen N, Taboureau O, Petersen JH, Andersson AM. 2013. Temporal variability in urinary phthalate metabolite excretion based on spot, morning, and 24-h urine samples: Considerations for epidemiological studies. Environ Sci Technol 47:958-967.

Frederiksen H, Jensen TK, Jorgensen N, Kyhl HB, Husby S, Skakkebaek NE, et al. 2014. Human urinary excretion of non-persistent environmental chemicals: An overview of danish data collected between 2006 and 2012. Reproduction 147:555-565.

Fromme H, Gruber L, Seckin E, Raab U, Zimmermann S, Kiranoglu M, et al. 2011. Phthalates and their metabolites in breast milk--results from the bavarian monitoring of breast milk (bambi). Environ Int 37:715-722.

Gray LE, Jr., Ostby J, Furr J, Price M, Veeramachaneni DN, Parks L. 2000. Perinatal exposure to the phthalates dehp, bbp, and dinp, but not dep, dmp, or dotp, alters sexual differentiation of the male rat. Toxicol Sci 58:350-365.

Hannas BR, Lambright CS, Furr J, Howdeshell KL, Wilson VS, Gray LE, Jr. 2011. Dose-response assessment of fetal testosterone production and gene expression levels in rat testes following in utero exposure to diethylhexyl phthalate, diisobutyl phthalate, diisoheptyl phthalate, and diisononyl phthalate. Toxicol Sci 123:206-216.

Hotchkiss AK, Parks-Saldutti LG, Ostby JS, Lambright C, Furr J, Vandenbergh JG, et al. 2004. A mixture of the "antiandrogens" linuron and butyl benzyl phthalate alters sexual differentiation of the male rat in a cumulative fashion. Biol Reprod 71:1852-1861.

Hsieh MH, Breyer BN, Eisenberg ML, Baskin LS. 2008. Associations among hypospadias, cryptorchidism, anogenital distance, and endocrine disruption. Curr Urol Rep 9:137-142.

Huang PC, Kuo PL, Chou YY, Lin SJ, Lee CC. 2009. Association between prenatal exposure to phthalates and the health of newborns. Environ Int 35:14-20.

Jensen MS, Norgaard-Pedersen B, Toft G, Hougaard DM, Bonde JP, Cohen A, et al. 2012. Phthalates and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid in human amniotic fluid: Temporal trends and timing of amniocentesis in pregnancy. Environ Health Perspect 120:897-903.

Koch HM, Christensen KL, Harth V, Lorber M, Bruning T. 2012. Di-n-butyl phthalate (dnbp) and diisobutyl phthalate (dibp) metabolism in a human volunteer after single oral doses. Arch Toxicol 86:1829-1839.

Kyhl HB, Jensen TK, Barington T, Buhl S, Norberg LA, Jorgensen JS, et al. 2015. The odense child cohort: Aims, design, and cohort profile. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 29:250-258.

Langer S, Beko G, Weschler CJ, Brive LM, Toftum J, Callesen M, et al. 2014. Phthalate metabolites in urine samples from danish children and correlations with phthalates in dust samples from their homes and daycare centers. Int J Hyg Environ Health 217:78-87.

Lassen TH, Frederiksen H, Jensen TK, Petersen JH, Main KM, Skakkebaek NE, et al. 2013. Temporal variability in urinary excretion of bisphenol a and seven other phenols in spot, morning, and 24-h urine samples. Environ Res 126:164-170.

Lee HC, Yamanouchi K, Nishihara M. 2006. Effects of perinatal exposure to phthalate/adipate esters on hypothalamic gene expression and sexual behavior in rats. J Reprod Dev 52:343-352.

Masutomi N, Shibutani M, Takagi H, Uneyama C, Lee KY, Hirose M. 2004. Alteration of pituitary hormone-immunoreactive cell populations in rat offspring after maternal dietary exposure to endocrine-active chemicals. Arch Toxicol 78:232-240.

Mendiola J, Stahlhut RW, Jorgensen N, Liu F, Swan SH. 2011. Shorter anogenital distance predicts poorer semen quality in young men in rochester, new york. Environmental Health Perspectives 119:958-963.

Rudel RA, Gray JM, Engel CL, Rawsthorne TW, Dodson RE, Ackerman JM, et al. 2011. Food packaging and bisphenol a and bis(2-ethyhexyl) phthalate exposure: Findings from a dietary intervention. Environ Health Perspect 119:914-920.

Saillenfait AM, Sabate JP, Gallissot F. 2008. Diisobutyl phthalate impairs the androgen-dependent reproductive development of the male rat. Reprod Toxicol 26:107-115.

Schindler BK, Esteban M, Koch HM, Castano A, Koslitz S, Canas A, et al. 2014. The european cophes/democophes project: Towards transnational comparability and reliability of human biomonitoring results. Int J Hyg Environ Health 217:653-661.

Scott HM, Hutchison GR, Jobling MS, McKinnell C, Drake AJ, Sharpe RM. 2008. Relationship between androgen action in the "male programming window," fetal sertoli cell number, and adult testis size in the rat. Endocrinology 149:5280-5287.

Shi W, Hu X, Zhang F, Hu G, Hao Y, Zhang X, et al. 2012. Occurrence of thyroid hormone activities in drinking water from eastern china: Contributions of phthalate esters. Environ Sci Technol 46:1811-1818.

Skakkebaek NE. 2004. Testicular dysgenesis syndrome: New epidemiological evidence. Int J Androl 27:189-191.

Suzuki Y, Yoshinaga J, Mizumoto Y, Serizawa S, Shiraishi H. 2012. Foetal exposure to phthalate esters and anogenital distance in male newborns. Int J Androl 35:236-244.

Swan SH, Main KM, Liu F, Stewart SL, Kruse RL, Calafat AM, et al. 2005. Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalate exposure. Environ Health Perspect 113:1056-1061.

Swan SH. 2008. Environmental phthalate exposure in relation to reproductive outcomes and other health endpoints in humans. Environ Res 108:177-184.

Swan SH, Sathyanarayana S, Barrett ES, Janssen S, Liu F, Nguyen RH, et al. 2015. First trimester phthalate exposure and anogenital distance in newborns. Hum Reprod 30:963-972.

Tefre de Renzy-Martin K, Frederiksen H, Christensen JS, Boye Kyhl H, Andersson AM, Husby S, et al. 2014. Current exposure of 200 pregnant danish women to phthalates, parabens and phenols. Reproduction 147:443-453.

Thankamony A, Lek N, Carroll D, Williams M, Dunger DB, Acerini CL, et al. 2014. Anogenital distance and penile length in infants with hypospadias or cryptorchidism: Comparison with normative data. Environ Health Perspect 122:207-211.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Tinggaard J, Aksglaede L, Sorensen K, Mouritsen A, Wohlfahrt-Veje C, Hagen CP, et al. 2013. The 2014 danish references from birth to 20 years for height, weight and body mass index. Acta Paediatr.

22

**Table 1** Phthalate metabolites ( $ng/mL_{(Osm)}$ ) measured in gestational week 28 in 273 pregnant Danish women (2010-2012).

Diether	Phthalate	LOD	%>LOD	Mean	Minimum	Percentiles			Maximum		
phthalate	metabolite					5	25	50	75	95	
DEP	MEP	0.53	100	102.9	<lod*< td=""><td>2.7</td><td>7.2</td><td>17.3</td><td>54.4</td><td>391.7</td><td>5380.9</td></lod*<>	2.7	7.2	17.3	54.4	391.7	5380.9
DiBP	MiBP	1.10	100	38.5	<lod*< td=""><td>3.7</td><td>13.3</td><td>27.1</td><td>48.2</td><td>106.7</td><td>371.1</td></lod*<>	3.7	13.3	27.1	48.2	106.7	371.1
DnBP	MnBP	1.43	96	17.8	<lod< td=""><td>1.5</td><td>6.0</td><td>12.5</td><td>23.0</td><td>51.2</td><td>184.3</td></lod<>	1.5	6.0	12.5	23.0	51.2	184.3
	$\sum MBP_{(i+n)}$			56.4	<lod< td=""><td>5.6</td><td>20.4</td><td>40.4</td><td>75.9</td><td>167.8</td><td>424.5</td></lod<>	5.6	20.4	40.4	75.9	167.8	424.5
BBzP	MBzP	1.14	69	6.5	<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td>2.6</td><td>5.9</td><td>16.2</td><td>546.0</td></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""><td>2.6</td><td>5.9</td><td>16.2</td><td>546.0</td></lod<>	2.6	5.9	16.2	546.0
DEHP	MEHP	0.14	89	2.0	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>1.2</td><td>2.3</td><td>5.5</td><td>61.1</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>1.2</td><td>2.3</td><td>5.5</td><td>61.1</td></lod<>	0.4	1.2	2.3	5.5	61.1
	MEHHP	0.91	91	7.4	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.4</td><td>5.2</td><td>9.1</td><td>19.4</td><td>120.8</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.4</td><td>5.2</td><td>9.1</td><td>19.4</td><td>120.8</td></lod<>	2.4	5.2	9.1	19.4	120.8
	MEOHP	0.67	93	5.9	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.2</td><td>4.4</td><td>7.1</td><td>14.8</td><td>106.4</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.2</td><td>4.4</td><td>7.1</td><td>14.8</td><td>106.4</td></lod<>	2.2	4.4	7.1	14.8	106.4
	MECPP	0.55	97	6.9	<lod< td=""><td>0.8</td><td>2.7</td><td>5.4</td><td>8.7</td><td>18.6</td><td>88.2</td></lod<>	0.8	2.7	5.4	8.7	18.6	88.2
	$\sum$ DEHPm			29.3	<lod< td=""><td>1.4</td><td>11.4</td><td>21.7</td><td>36.1</td><td>73.0</td><td>500.4</td></lod<>	1.4	11.4	21.7	36.1	73.0	500.4
DiNP	MiNP	0.61	12	0.2	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td>1.2</td><td>11.9</td></lod<></td></lod<>				<lod< td=""><td>1.2</td><td>11.9</td></lod<>	1.2	11.9
	MHiNP	0.26	90	5.4	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.7</td><td>1.7</td><td>4.1</td><td>14.2</td><td>336.4</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.7</td><td>1.7</td><td>4.1</td><td>14.2</td><td>336.4</td></lod<>	0.7	1.7	4.1	14.2	336.4
	MOiNP	0.25	86	2.6	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>1.2</td><td>2.9</td><td>9.2</td><td>46.9</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>1.2</td><td>2.9</td><td>9.2</td><td>46.9</td></lod<>	0.4	1.2	2.9	9.2	46.9
	MCiOP	0.11	100	9.1	<lod*< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>2.0</td><td>3.9</td><td>9.3</td><td>24.7</td><td>196.4</td></lod*<>	0.5	2.0	3.9	9.3	24.7	196.4
	∑DiNPm			22.9	<lod< td=""><td>0.7</td><td>4.3</td><td>9.0</td><td>22.5</td><td>67.3</td><td>476.1</td></lod<>	0.7	4.3	9.0	22.5	67.3	476.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;LOD Below limit of detection

 $<sup>\</sup>sum$ MBP<sub>(i+n)</sub>, sum of MiBP and MnBP

DEHPm, molar sum of DEHP metabolites expressed as excreted DEHP (ng/mL)

<sup>\( \</sup>sum DiNPm, \) molar sum of DiNP metabolites expressed as excreted DiNP (ng/mL)

<sup>\*</sup> less than 1% were below LOD

**Table 2** Median maternal osmolality adjusted urinary phthalate excretion of  $\sum$ MBP (i+n),  $\sum$ DiNPm and  $\sum$ DEHPm (ng/mL<sub>(osm)</sub>) according to maternal characteristics.

Maternal characteristics	$N^a$	$\sum$ MBP (i+n)	∑DEHPm	∑DiNPm	
All	267				
Maternal age at delivery (years)					
<25	29	43.1	23.6	8.9	
25-29	92	40.3	21.2	10.6	
30-34	90	43.4	21.1	7.6	
35+	56	48.3	26.1	12.7	
Prepregnancy BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
<20	26	44.7*	24.0*	7.0*	
20-25	142	38.8*	21.4*	8.6*	
25+	99	51.1*	25.7*	12.6*	
Parity					
Nulliparous	154	44.2*	22.0	9.0	
Multiparous	113	42.8*	22.2	10.9	
Maternal smoking during pregnancy					
Yes	9	110.4*	44.7*	19.0*	
No	258	43.0*	21.6*	9.2*	
Preterm birth (before week 37)					
Yes	9	43.5	20.4	19.0	
No	258	43.7	22.2	9.4	
Birth weight (gram)					
<2500	6	43.5	20.0	18.1	
2500-3999	200	44.1	22.9	9.2	
4000+	61	41.2	21.1	9.8	

 $<sup>\</sup>sum$ MBP<sub>(i+n)</sub>, sum of MiBP and MnBP

<sup>∑</sup>DEHPm, molar sum of DEHP metabolites expressed as excreted DEHP (ng/mL)

<sup>∑</sup>DiNPm, molar sum of DiNP metabolites expressed as excreted DiNP (ng/mL)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>267 participants included in the table due to missing data

<sup>\*</sup> p<0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test.

**Table 3** Association between short AGD (AGDas), long AGD (AGDap) and penile width in boys and quartiles of ln-transformed osmolality adjusted concentrations of phthalate metabolites in prenatal urine expressed as a beta-coefficient (expressing the change in mm) with a 95% CI from an adjusted linear regression model.

Phthalate metabolite	AGDas N=245	AGD ap N=236	Penile width N=241 β <sup>c</sup> (95% CI)		
ng/ml <sub>(osm)</sub>	β <sup>c</sup> (95% CI)	β <sup>c</sup> (95% CI)			
MEP			•		
1 <sup>st</sup> (LOD- 8.9)	Reference	Reference	Reference		
$2^{\text{nd}}(9.0 - 19.9)$	-0.64 (-2.52, 1.23)	-0.33 (-2.37, 1.72)	-0.08 (-0.49, 0.43)		
3 <sup>rd</sup> (20.0- 54.9)	-1.68 (-3.56, 0.20)	-0.24 (-2.32, 1.85)	-0.28 (-0.70, 0.13)		
4 <sup>th</sup> (55+)	-1.37 (-3.27, 0.54)	-0.96 (-3.01, 1.15)	-0.07 (-0.49, 0.34)		
p-trend <sup>a</sup>	0.09	0.41	0.52		
Continuous <sup>b</sup>	-0.34 (-0.84, 0.17)	0.02 (-0.54, 0.58)	0.03 (-0.09, 0.14)		
MiBP	0.0 . ( 0.0 ., 0.17 )	0.02 ( 0.0 1, 0.0 0)	0.05 ( 0.05, 0.1 1)		
1 <sup>st</sup> (LOD-16.9)	Reference	Reference	Reference		
$2^{\text{nd}}(17.0-29.9)$	-0.68 (-2.58, 1.22)	0.14 (-1.93, 2.21)	-0.29 (-0.70, 0-13)		
$3^{\text{rd}}(30.0-49.9)$	-0.66 (-2.49, 1.18)	-0.92 (-2.93, 1.09)	-0.35 (-0.75, 0.05)		
$4^{\text{th}}(50+)$	-0.55 (-2.49, 1.39)	-0.88 (-2.99, 1.22)	-0.25 (-0.67, 0.16)		
p-trend <sup>a</sup>	0.57	0.27	0.19		
Continuous <sup>b</sup>	-0.03 (-0.90, 0.83)	-0.11 (-1.07, 0.84)	-0.13 (-0.32, 0.05)		
MnBP	( ,, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(,)	(,)		
1 <sup>st</sup> (LOD -7.9)	Reference	Reference	Reference		
$2^{\text{nd}}(8.0-13.9)$	1.18 (-0.73, 3.01)	0.19 (-1.88, 2.27)	-0.22 (-0.63, 0.20)		
$3^{\text{rd}}(14.0-20.9)$	-1.07 (-2.89, 0.76)	-1.37 (-3.36, 0.63)	-0.31 (-0.71, 0.08)		
4 <sup>th</sup> (21+)	0.19 (-1.66, 2.04)	-0.07 (-2.14, 2.00)	-0.19 (-0.60, 0.22)		
p-trend <sup>a</sup>	0.60	0.56	0.28		
Continuous <sup>b</sup>	-0.13 (-0.89, 0.63)	-0.27 (-1.10, 0.56)	-0.04 (-0.21, 0.12)		
MBzP <sup>d</sup>	( ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ( , ,			
1 <sup>st</sup> (LOD-2.49)	Reference	Reference	Reference		
$2^{\text{nd}}(2.5+)$	-0.80 (-2.13, 0.54)	-0.72 (-2.19, 0.75)	0.06 (-0.24, 0.35)		
p-trend <sup>a</sup>	0.24	0.34	0.70		
Continuous <sup>b</sup>	-0.42 (-1.06, 0.21)	-0.27 (-0.97, 0.43)	-0.03 (-0.17, 0.11)		
$\sum$ MBP (i+n)	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,		
1 <sup>st</sup> (LOD-24.9)	Reference	Reference	Reference		
$2^{\text{nd}}(25.0-43.9)$	0.44 (-1.45, 2.32)	0.32 (-1.74, 2.37)	-0.53 ( <b>-0.94</b> , <b>-0.12</b> )		
3 <sup>rd</sup> (44.0-69.9)	-0.95 (-2.89, 0.98)	-0.97 (-3.08, 1.14)	-0.40 (-0.82, 0.02)		
$4^{th}(70+)$	-0.11 (-2.04, 1.82)	-0.69 (-2.82, 1.43)	-0.41 (-0.83, 0.00)		
p-trend <sup>a</sup>	0.56	0.31	0.12		
Continuous <sup>b</sup>	-0.08 (-0.94, 0.78)	-0.16 (-1.10, 0.77)	-0.10 (-0.29, 0.08)		
ΣDiNPm	, , , , , , , , , , , ,				
1 <sup>st</sup> (LOD-4.9)	Reference	Reference	Reference		
$2^{\text{nd}}(5.0-9.9)$	-0.88 (-2.75, 0.98)	-0.21 (-2.27, 1.86)	-0.07 (-0.48, 0.33)		
$3^{\text{rd}}(10.0-19.9)$	-1.24 (-3.21, 0.73)	0.08 (-2.06, 2.22)	-0.29 (-0.72, 0.14)		
4 <sup>th</sup> (20+)	-0.29 (-2.17, 1.59)	0.99 (-1.05, 3.03)	0.05 (-0.36, 0.45)		
p-trend <sup>a</sup>	0.74	0.31	0.98		
Continuous <sup>b</sup>	-0.15 (-0.75, 0.44)	0.22 (-0.42, 0.87)	-0.02 (-0.15, 0.11)		
∑ <b>DEHPm</b>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , ,		
1 <sup>st</sup> (LOD- 13.9)	Reference	Reference	Reference		
$2^{\text{nd}}(14.0-21.9)$	-1.05 (-2.95, 0.85)	-1.17 (-3.26, 0.93)	0.06 (-0.36, 0.48)		
3 <sup>rd</sup> (22.0-33.9)	-1.25 (-3.17, 0.67)	-0.51 (-2.61, 1.59)	0.09 (-0.33, 0.51)		
4 <sup>th</sup> (34+)	-1.16 (-3.08, 0.77)	-0.45 (-2.56, 1.66)	0.04 (-0.39, 0.46)		
p-trend <sup>a</sup>	0.25	0.86	0.84		
Continuous <sup>b</sup>	-0.47 (-1.35, 0.41)	-0.33 (-1.29, 0.63)	0.07 (-0.13, 0.26)		
	uartiles of phthalate exposur				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>P-value for trend across quartiles of phthalate exposure inserting ordinal categorical variable.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

<sup>b</sup> Ln transformed osmolality adjusted phthalate concentration.

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Adjusted for the post-conceptional age (defined as the sum of gestational age at birth and the age of the child at the AGD measurements) and individual weight for age standard deviation score (Z-score).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> MBzP were divided as levels below and above medians as 31% were <LOD.

Environ Health Perspect DOI: 10.1289/ehp.1509870 Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

**Table 4** Characteristics of cohort studies measuring AGD and distribution (median and 25-75 percentiles) of unadjusted/ raw urinary levels (ng/ml) of phthalate metabolites.

Study characteristics	Odense Child Cohort	Study of future families (Swan et al. 2005)	SELMA study (Bornehag et al. 2014)	TIDES (Swan et al. 2015)	Japanese study (Suzuki et al. 2012)	Mexican study (Bustamante- Montes et al. 2013)	Women undergoing amniocentesis (Huang et al. 2009)
Country and year	Denmark, 2010-2012	US, 1999-2002	Sweden, 2008-2009	US, 2010-2012	Japan, 2005-2006	Mexico, ?	Taiwan, 2005-2006
Trimester of urine sampling	2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{\rm rd}$	$3^{\rm rd}$	$1^{\rm rd}$
Number of participants	245	134	196	753	111	73	33
AGD measurements Findings	3 months No associations	15 months MBP => AGDap  MEP => AGDap  MiBP => AGDap  ✓	19-21 months DiNP metabolites => AGDas♥	At birth MEHP=> AGDas♥ MEOHP=> AGDas♥ MEHHP=> AGDas♥	At birth MEHP => AGDap♥	At birth "Total phthalate" => AGDap	At birth No associations
β-value multivariable linear regression for positive findings	Ln MEP β=-0.34, p=0.36	Log MBP, MEP, MiBP -0.59, p=0.03 -0.40, p=0.02 -0.77, p<0.01	Log SumDiNP $\beta$ = -1.69, p=0.05	Log MEHP, MEOHP, MEHHP -1.12, p=0.04 -1.43, p<0.01 -1.28, p=0.01	Log MEHP $\beta$ = -0.23, p=0.02	"total phthalate" $\beta$ = -0.19, p=0.04	
Adjustment	Weight adjusted AGD, post- conceptional age	Weight adjusted AGD, maternal age	Age, gestational week of sampling, weight for age, creatinine	Age, gestational age, z-score, time of urine collection, maternal age, study center	Smoking, gestational week, birth order, maternal age	Birth length,creatinine	Gestational age
Phthalate metabolite					· ·		
(ng/ml) before adjustment							
MEP	17.3 (7.2-54.4)	128.4 (53.3-436.9)	60.6 (30.7-134.1)	26.0 (9.0-81.0)	7.8 (3.4-31.7)	$7.6(12.7)^{a}$	$19.1 (4.9-324.4)^{b}$
MnBP	27.1 (13.3-48.2)	13.5 (7.2-30.9)	66.0 (43.1-111.8)	7.0 (2.5-16.6)	7.0 (?-16.6)	$0.7(0.5)^{a}$	79.6 (28.1-232.6) <sup>b</sup>
MiBP	12.5 (6.0-23.0)	2.5 (0.7-5.1)		4.4 (1.6-10.6)			1
MBzP	2.6 (0-5.9)	8.3 (3.5-23.5)	15.1 (7.9-35.6)	3.1 (1.0-9.0)	3.6 (1.2-8.7)	$0.6 (0.2)^{a}$	$2.5 (0-13.9)^{b}$
MEHP	1.2 (0.4-2.3)	3.3 (1.3-9.0)	3.3 (1.9-5.9)	2.0 (0.7-4.7)	3.7 (2.1-7.1)	$4.0 (4.2)^{a}$	26.3 (11.9-120.3) <sup>b</sup>
MEHHP	5.2 (2.4-9.1)	11.4 (6.0-20.1)	15.3 (8.7-22.9)	6.1 (2.4-14.0)	7.1 (4.2-13.8)		
MEOHP	4.4 (2.2-7.1)	11.1 (5.1-19.0)	10.0 (5.7-15.6)	4.4 (2.0-9.9)	7.8 (4.4-13.0)		
MECPP	5.4 (2.7-8.7)		14.5 (8.0-22.5)	8.6 (3.4-18.8)			
∑DEHPm <sup>c</sup>	55.6 (29.2-92.4)		148.1 (84.6-220.7)	75.0 (28.2-165.0)			
MHiNP	1.7 (0.7-4.1)		6.3 (2.8-14.2)				
MOiNP MCiOP	1.2 (0.4-2.9) 3.9 (2.0-9.3)		2.8 (1.3-6.2) 8.3 (5.0-16.4)	13.2 (5.0-45.2)			

Environ Health Perspect DOI: 10.1289/ehp.1509870 Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

\( \sum_{\text{Innm}}^{\text{NPm}} \)	21.4 (10.3-53.7)		55.9 (28.3-124.9)				
Urine	Fasting, spot urine	Spot urine	Morning urine	Spot urine	Spot urine	Spot urine	Spot urine
Adjustment	Osmolality	None	Creatinine	Specific gravidity	Specific gravidity	Creatinine	Creatinine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Mean (SD), MEHP, MBzP, MEP and MBP detectable in 67%, 14%, 11% and 12%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Median (10th–90th percentile).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> ∑DEHPm, molar sum of DEHP metabolites (MEHP+MEHHP+MEOHP+MECPP) (nmol/L) in all studies. <sup>d</sup> ∑DiNPm, molar sum of DiNP metabolites (MHiNP+MOiNP+MCiOP) (nmol/L) in all studies.